Antimicrobial Activity of Cefepime in Combination with Taniborbactam Against Resistant Clinical Isolates from the United States 2018-2021

M. Hackel¹, M. Wise¹, D. Sahm¹


¹IHMA, Inc., Schaumburg, IL, USA

INTRODUCTION

Taniborbactam is a novel, investigational cyclic boronate-based broad-spectrum βlactamase inhibitor with potent and selective direct inhibitory activity against and metallo-β-lactamases both serine-(Ambler Classes A, B, C and D) [1]. Taniborbactam restores the activity of cefepime against many difficult to treat organisms, including cephalosporin- and Enterobacterales carbapenem-resistant and *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*. In this study, we evaluated the activity of (FTB) cefepime-taniborbactam and comparator agents against nonsusceptible (NS)/resistant (R) clinical isolates of Enterobacterales and P. aeruginosa from the United States (US) from a 2018-2021 global surveillance study.

METHODS

MICs of cefepime with taniborbactam fixed at 4 µg/mL and comparators were determined using the CLSI reference method [2] against Enterobacterales (n=4,220) and *P. aeruginosa* (n=1,222) from the United States collected in 2018-2021. Quality control (QC) testing was performed each day of testing as specified by the CLSI [2, 3]. Isolates were collected from community and hospital infections from 35 sites. Avibactam was tested at a fixed concentration of 4 µg/mL in combination with ceftazidime. tazobactam was tested at a fixed concentration of 4 μ g/mL in combination with ceftolozane and piperacillin, and vaborbactam was tested at a fixed concentration of 8 µg/mL in combination with meropenem [3]. CLSI 2023 breakpoints were applied for this analysis, with the EUCAST 2023 meropenem-vaborbactam breakpoint applied against *P. aeruginosa* [3, 4]. Resistant phenotypes were based on CLSI 2023 breakpoints [3]. As cefepimetaniborbactam breakpoints have not yet been established, the provisional nonresistant breakpoint of ≤16 µg/mL was considered for comparative purposes. Multidrug resistant (MDR) was defined as resistance to at least one agent from ≥ 3 drug classes based on CLSI 2023 breakpoints.






Table 1. Activity of cefepime-taniborbactam and comparators against Enterobacterales

Resistance Phenotype	N (%)	MIC ₉₀ (µg/mL)/Percent susceptible						
		FTB ^a	FEP	CZA	СТ	MEV	TZP	
Enterobacterales	4,220 (100%)	0.12/99.9	8/86.9	0.5/99.6	2/91.8	≤0.06/99.8	32/89.7	
FEP NS	554 (13.1%)	1/99.3	>16/0	2/97.1	>8/62.8	0.12/98.2	>128/59.6	
TZP NS	434 (10.3%)	1/99.1	>16/48.4	2/96.3	>8/30	0.25/97.7	>128/0	
MEM NS	83 (2.0%)	4/97.6	>16/7.2	>16/81.9	>8/4.8	8/88.0	>128/4.8	
MEV NS	10 (0.2%)	8/90.0	>16/0	>16/20	>8/0	>16/0	>128/0	
CZANS	18 (0.4%)	8/94.4	>16/11.1	>16/0	>8/0	>16/55.6	>128/11.1	
MDR	251 (5.9%)	2/98.4	>16/10.0	4/94.0	>8/39.4	1/96.0	>128/35.5	

FTB. cefepime with taniborbactam fixed at 4 ug/mL: FEP. cefepime: CZA. ceftazidime-avibactam: CT. ceftolozane-tazobactam; MEM, meropenem; MEV, meropenem-vaborbactar TZP, piperacillin-tazobactam; MDR, multidrug resistant; NS, nonsusceptible; R, resistant. ^aCorresponds to a provisional susceptible breakpoint of $\leq 16 \,\mu g/mL$ for comparative purposes only





Fig 3. Antimicrobial susceptibility of Enterobacterales overall and by and resistant subset

FTB, cefepime with taniborbactam fixed at 4 µg/mL; FEP, cefepime; CZA, ceftazidime-avibactam; CT, ceftolozane-tazobactam; MEM, meropenem; MEV, meropenem-vaborbactam; TZP, piperacillin-tazobactam; MDR, multidrug resistant; R, resistant; NS, non-susceptible; FTB susceptibility corresponds to a provisional susceptible breakpoint of ≤16 µg/mL for comparative purposes

RESULTS




nfection; IAI, intraabdominal infection; RTI, respiratory tract infection; SSTI, skin/soft tissue infection UTI, urinary tract infection

Table 2. Activity of cefepime-taniborbactam and comparators against *P. aeruginosa*


Resistance Phenotype	N (%)		MIC ₉₀ (µg/mL)/Percent susceptible							
		FTB ^a	FEP ^b	CZA	СТ	MEV	TZP ^b			
P. aeruginosa	1222/100	8/97.7	32/80.8	8/94.6	4/92.7	8/91.9	>128/72.8			
FEP NS	235/19.2	32/88.1	>32/0	>16/74.0	>16/63.8	>16/71.1	>128/9.8			
TZP NS	332/27.2	16/92.5	>32/36.1	16/81.0	16/75.3	>16/73.9	>128/0			
MEM NS	261/21.4	16/91.2	>32/49.0	>16/79.7	16/76.6	>16/62.1	>128/33.0			
MEV Rb	99/8.1	>32/81.8	>32/31.3	>16/62.6	>16/70.7	>16/0	>128/12.1			
CZA R	66/5.4	>32/68.2	>32/7.6	>16/0	>16/28.8	>16/43.9	>128/4.5			
CTNS	89/7.3	>32/74.2	>32/4.5	>16/47.2	>16/0	>16/67.4	>128/7.9			
MDR	164/13.4	32/85.4	>32/18.9	>16/67.1	>16/59.1	>16/61.0	>128/10.4			

-TB. cefepime with taniborbactam fixed at 4 ug/mL: FEP. cefepime: CZA, ceftazidime-avibactam; CT, ceftolozane-tazobactam; MEM, meropenem; MEV, meropenem-vaborbactam; TZP. piperacillin-tazobactam: MDR, multidrug resistant; NS, nonsusceptible; R, resistant. ^aCorresponds to a provisional susceptible breakpoint of ≤16 μg/mL for comparative purposes or ^bEUCAST breakpoints (susceptible $\leq 8 \mu g/mL/resistant \geq 16 \mu g/mL$) applied for *P. aeruginosa*



PHENOTYPE (N/PERCENT OF TOTAL)

Fig 4. Antimicrobial susceptibility of *P. aeruginosa* overall and by and resistant subsets



FTB, cefepime with taniborbactam fixed at 4 µg/mL; FEP, cefepime; CZA, ceftazidime-avibactam; CT, ceftolozane-tazobactam; MEM, meropenem; MEV, meropenem-vaborbactam TZP, piperacillin-tazobactam; MDR, multidrug resistant; R, resistant; MEV R based on EUCAST 2023 breakpoint; NS, non-susceptible; FTB susceptibility corresponds to a provisional susceptible breakpoint of $\leq 16 \mu g/mL$ for comparative purposes

Figure 2. Distribution of isolates by infection sources

PHENOTYPE (N/PERCENT OF TOTAL)

- taniborbactam.

- comparators (Table 2, Figure 3).

meropenem-vaborbactam.

- Supplement. CLSI Document M100S 2023. Wayne, PA.

This project was funded in whole or in part with federal funds from the Department of Health and Human Services; Administration for Strategic Preparedness and Response, Biomedical Advanced Research and Development Authority, under Contract No. HHSO100201900007C.



RESULTS SUMMARY

• *E. coli* (32% of total isolates) and *K. pneumoniae* (27% of total isolates) were the most common species of Enterobacterales represented in this surveillance collection (Figure 1).

Overall, 13.1% and 10.3% of Enterobacterales isolates from the United States were nonsusceptible to cefepime and piperacillin-tazobactam, respectively (Table 1). A total of 5.9% of isolates were MDR, and 2.0% were nonsusceptible to meropenem. K. pneumoniae (n=1,137) accounted for 37.2, 31.3, 60.2, and 41.4% of cefepime nonsusceptible, piperacillin-tazobactam nonsusceptible, MDR, and meropenem nonsusceptible isolates among Enterobacterales species within each subset, respectively.

Cefepime-taniborbactam had potent activity against Enterobacterales overall, with an MIC₉₀ value of 0.12 $\mu g/mL$ and 99.9% inhibited at $\leq 16 \mu g/mL$ (Table 1, Figure 2).

Cefepime-taniborbactam maintained activity against resistant subsets of Enterobacterales (MIC₉₀ range, 1 to 8 μ g/mL; 90.0% to 99.3% inhibited at ≤16 μ g/mL) including MDR isolates (MIC₉₀, 2 μ g/mL; 98.4% inhibited at $\leq 16 \mu g/mL$) (Table 1, Figure 1). Greater than 90% of isolates that were nonsusceptible to ceftazidime-avibactam and/or meropenem-vaborbactam were inhibited at $\leq 16 \mu g/mL$ cefepime-

From 19.2% to 27.2% of P. aeruginosa isolates were nonsusceptible to cefepime, piperacillin-tazobactam and/or meropenem (Table 2). Between 5.4% and 8.1% of isolates were nonsusceptible/resistant to ceftolozane-tazobactam, ceftazidime-avibactam and/or meropenem-vaborbactam.

• Cefepime-taniborbactam was the most active tested agent against *P. aeruginosa* overall, with an MIC₉₀ value of 8 μ g/mL and 97.7% inhibited at ≤16 μ g/mL (Table 2, Figure 3).

• Percentages of *P. aeruginosa* isolates in the nonsusceptible subsets that were inhibited by $\leq 16 \mu g/mL$ cefepime-taniborbactam ranged from 68.2% for ceftazidime-avibactam resistant isolates to 92.5% for piperacillin-tazobactam nonsusceptible isolates. These compared to 0% to 81.0% susceptible to

• Against MDR *P. aeruginosa* (13.4% of total isolates), cefepime-taniborbactam maintained activity, with 85.4% of isolates inhibited at $\leq 16 \mu g/mL$, a substantially greater percentage than the most active comparators, ceftazidime-avibactam (67.1% susceptible), meropenem-vaborbactam (61.0% susceptible), and ceftolozane-tazobactam (59.1% susceptible) (Table 2, Figure 3).

CONCLUSIONS

Cefepime-taniborbactam demonstrated potent in vitro activity against recent Enterobacterales and P. aeruginosa from the United States, including MDR isolates and isolates nonsusceptible to cefepime, meropenem, piperacillin-tazobactam, ceftazidime-avibactam, ceftolozane-tazobactam, and/or

These data support continued development of cefepime-taniborbactam as a potential treatment option for challenging infections due to resistant Gram-negative pathogens.

REFERENCES

1. Hamrick, 2020.https://journals.asm.org/doi/epub/10.1128/AAC.01963-19.

2. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2018. Methods for Dilution Antimicrobial Susceptibility Tests for Bacteria That Grow Aerobically; Approved Standards -- Eleventh Edition. CLSI document M07-A11 Wayne, PA.

3. Clinical and Laboratory Standards Institute. 2023. Performance Standards for Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing; Thirty-third Informational

. The European Committee on Antimicrobial Susceptibility Testing – EUCAST Clinical Breakpoints 2023; http://www.eucast.org/clinical_breakpoints/

DISCLOSURES